

Acta Universitatis Bohemiae Meridionalis

The Scientific Journal of Economic and Management

(ISSN 1212-3285, online 2336-4297)

Indexed in: ABDC, Ebsco, ProQuest, Google Scholar, J-Gate

Published by: University of South Bohemia in České Budějovice, Faculty of Economics
Studentská 13, 370 05 České Budějovice, Czech Republic

Special Issue for conferences

(International Conference -2022 -Hybrid))

26-28 May 2022

Organized by :Tradepreneur Global Academic Platform UK
with associations of Kodolanyi Janos University, Hungary

Theme : Embracing Transformation : Innovation & Creation


Submission Deadline: 10th April'2022 (Only the papers presented in the conferences)

Guest Editors

Mr. Anuj Kumar
Apeejay School of Management, Delhi, India

Dr. Hayri Uygun
Recep Tayyip Erdogan University, Turkey

Prof. Dr.Rashmi Gujrati
CT University, India



Editorial Board

Prof. Dr. H C Peter Szabo, Rector
Kodolanyi Janos University Budapest, Hungary

Prof. Dr. Orhan Turkul, Professor of Industrial Engineering
Sakarya University , Turkey

Dr. Francesca Di Virgilio, Ph.Ds,
Rector's Delegate Placement and Technology Transfer A
Associate Professor – Management University of Molise Molise, Italy

Prof. Dr. Joanna Paliszkiewicz
Professor- Director of Management Institute
Warsaw University of Life Sciences - SGGW
Warsaw, Poland

Submit your paper through easy chair
<https://easychair.org/conferences/?conf=etic2022>

Contact Information

Anuj Kumar +91-9990383481
Dr.Hayri Uygun +90-552 633 21 61
Prof.Dr. Rashmi Gujrat +91-9759347347
Email : tradepreneurglobal9@gmail.com
www.tradepreneur.org

Author (s) guidelines:

Types of Publications

1. Original scientific paper brings new research results in the field of economics and management. The quality of the articles is assessed within the peer-review procedure.

2. Reviews

Reviews are the articles based on existing research data. The paper must contain a description of the research gap, the goal of the research, methodology, details about data sources, a quality literature review. It is possible to follow the PRISMA protocol. <http://www.prisma-statement.org/>

3. Conceptual paper must present an original concept. The article is not based on original data. This type of article brings springboard for new research.

4. Scientific information is brief research reports or scientific descriptions of the new phenomenon or current situation in the journal scope area. The maximum range of articles is 5 pages.

Peer Review Process

Publishing of papers is conditioned by a successful double-blind peer-review (reviewing procedure). Reviewers are selected from among the most renowned experts in their field. Each paper is reviewed by at least two reviewers. The paper can be published in the case of two supporting recommendations. Reviewers are chosen from several experts based on their proven qualifications in the area discussed in the paper. None of the reviewers is allowed to be a member of staff of the same institution as the author or co-author of the submitted paper.

The review procedure has two stages:

The first stage is done by the editor-in-chief or by the assigned editor. The editor-in-chief is authorized to reject the submission or to recommend necessary changes if the paper does not meet the basic criteria for submitted papers (i.e., if the topic is outside the scope of the journal, or if it does not respect formal criteria for writing). The first review process usually does not take more than 4 weeks.

The reviewer's report consists of the following parts:

- A review for authors prepared in a standard form enabling (detailed) comments
- A confidential report to the editorial board (not required; not disclosed to authors).

The reviewer's decision can be:

- To accept (without changes)
- To accept with minor changes (the reviewer states what changes are suggested)
- To revise and resubmit (the reviewer states what the necessary revisions and suggested changes are)
- To reject (the reviewer states what the reasons for rejection are)

The final decision of accepting, revising, or rejecting the paper is taken by the editorial board.

It is advisable for authors to see the questions the reviewers answer:

1. Does the title of the paper correspond to its content?
2. Is the topic interesting, current, and relevant for researchers?
3. Is the abstract comprehensive and complete? Are aims, methods, main results and conclusions included in the abstract?
4. Are the keywords and the Journal of Economic Literature (JEL) classification selected appropriately?
5. Are the aims of the paper original, relevant, and stated clearly in the introduction? Does the introduction state the nature and scope of the problem?
6. Does the paper refer to the state of the art in research? Is the relationship to previous work made clear?
7. Are research questions, hypotheses, and assumptions in the case of original scientific papers properly formulated? Does the preceding discussion lead to the research questions and hypothesis?
8. Does the methodology of the research correspond to the problem? Is the selection of methods appropriate?
9. Are the empirical parts in the case of the original scientific paper clearly explained? Are the data fully described and their precise sources given? Are statistical results reported in a proper way?
10. Do the conclusions correspond to the research and aims of the paper?
11. Are the conclusions explicitly stated?
12. Does the text bring new findings?
13. Are relevant authors cited in the text?
14. Are all cited works included in references?
15. Are the style and grammar appropriate to a scientific work? Is the style of the author accurate and understandable?
16. Is there a perspective regarding further research about the topic? What are the recommendations of the reviewer for further specialization of the author(s)?

All the papers are subject to copyediting. The final step before publishing the paper is the author's editing.